

The theoretical roots of NLP-based coaching

by

Susie Linder-Pelz and L. Michael Hall

First published in *The Coaching Psychologist* Vol 3, Issue 1, 2007

Abstract

NLP (Neuro-linguistic programming) is a communication model; it is about the internal representation of experience and how people communicate with themselves as well as others. In recent years NLP has informed much coaching practice (McDermott and Jago 2001, Dilts 2003, McLeod 2004). Yet “the relationship between NLP and academe has been tenuous and somewhat strained, influenced in part by the apparently atheoretical stance of the founders” (Tosey, Mathison and Michelli 2005).

This paper details the theoretical origins of the NLP model and its roots in established psychological theories. Our intent is to offer an informed view of NLP as well as to contribute to the development and validation of professional coaching practices that are grounded in established psychological theory and research (Grant 2001, Stober, Wildflower and Drake 2006).

Keywords

Neurology, linguistics, neuroscience, NLP, meta-states, cognitive behaviour, solution focused, self-reflexivity, coaching, self-actualisation

NLP (Neuro-linguistic programming) is a communication model; it is about the internal representation of experience and how people communicate with themselves as well as others. It focuses on peoples' subjective experience and constructed reality" (Tosey, Mathison and Michelli 2005). The 'neuro' refers to the way humans experience the world through their senses and translate sensory experiences into thought processes, both conscious and unconscious, which in turn activate the neurological system; 'linguistic' refers to the way we use language to make sense of the world, capture and conceptualise experience and then communicate that experience to others; and 'programming' addresses the way people code (mentally represent) their experience and adopt regular and systematic patterns of response. (Hall and Belnap 1999, Corsetty and Pearson 2000).

In the field of general semantics Korzybski (1933/94) articulated an explanatory model of the human mind-body system comprising many interactive parts: mind (meaning, semantics), body (neurology), emotions, and beliefs. That was the beginning of the NLP model.

Korzybski also explained how humans create their unique cognitive 'maps' of the external world they encounter. His map/territory distinction explains 'emotion' as the registering somatically of the difference between our maps of the world and our experience of it. People construct *meanings* in their mind and package them in symbols (words), share them and embody them. Words work in their neurology to create emotional states and induce them into various mind-body-emotion experiences (O'Connor and Seymour 1990, Bolstad 2004).

An even more substantial source of NLP was the Human Potential Movement of which Abraham Maslow and Carl Rogers were the leading pioneers. The connection for this goes back to the key figures in NLP and in the Human Potential Movement (Anderson 1983, Bolstad 2005). At Esalen in southern California, Fritz Perls was the first 'scholar in residence' and Gregory Bateson was the last. The first person in charge of Research and Development at Esalen was Virginia Satir. These key leaders of the Human Potential Movement were also the key people modelled by Bandler and Grinder in 1972-1975 (Bandler and Grinder 1975.1976).

The Human Potential Movement was launched from Maslow's modelling study of psychologically healthy people or 'self-actualizers' (Maslow 1968, 1970). His work created a paradigm-shift, away from building a model of human nature based on sick or neurotic people towards one that explained healthy or self-

actualising people. From this came the premises of NLP (the ‘NLP presuppositions’) such as ‘people have all the resources for their full development’, ‘resistance indicates the lack of rapport’ and ‘there is a structure to all experiences’. The NLP Presuppositions (Bandler and Grinder 1975, 1976) were derived almost entirely from the work of Virginia Satir and Fritz Perls, which in turn was based on the premises of the Human Potential movement of Maslow and Rogers (Hall 2006).

Based on his scientific and psychometric research, Rogers (1955, 1961) concluded that people have a basically positive direction, are self directing and autonomous; he talked of self-regulatory activities. Change was about “loosening cognitive maps”. He also linked thoughts, feelings, experiences and physiology to the change process. Rogers’ idea that helpful therapeutic relationships required reflective listening, empathy and separateness of self underlie NLP practitioner skills (such as state management and rapport-building through calibration of representational systems, matching and mirroring language predicates, pacing and leading) as well as traditional approaches to helping skills that emphasize qualities such as congruence, empathy and positive regard (Egan 1975).

Glasser’s Reality Therapy (1965) talks of the therapist not accepting excuses or blaming, of the need for client to take responsibility and of emphasising behaviour change rather than search for roots of problematic behaviour. These, too, are principles underlying the NLP approach to coaching.

So NLP is partly based on the same cognitive behavioural approaches that have informed the solution-focused approach over the past 20 years ((de Shazar 1988; de Shazar 1994). Both approaches emphasise the clarification of goals; harnessing strengths and resources; focusing on meanings, possibilities and solutions (not ‘problems’); talking about feedback not ‘failure’; amplifying what works; suggesting that if something doesn’t work it is useful to try something different. NLP, in addition, focuses on assisting clients to change and manage their physiological, neurological and emotional states directly; it utilises all sensory representation systems thereby reducing the towards verbal processing bias inherent in the solution-focused approach.

Cognitive theory—first as RET (Ellis 1962) focused on ‘thoughts’ as primary in driving human experience. Later, REBT (Ellis and Harper 1975) suggested that ‘thoughts’ are revealed primarily as words, self-talk statement, and beliefs. How we think affects how we feel which in turn affects how we behave (Ellis and Harper 1975; Beck, Rush, Shaw and Emery 1979). Cognitive reframing and challenging self-talk are used in

RET and REBT. NLP also draws from reality theory and learning theory the need for positive and negative reinforcement, setting goals, contract negotiation and not 'failing' people.

NLP and cognitive behaviour counselling both draw on self-efficacy theory (Bandura 1986) and his cognitive learning theory regarding the quadratic reciprocity of four domains of human experience: thoughts, feelings, behaviour and situation (Bandura 1977). The motivational power of goal-setting (Latham and Locke 1991, Locke 1996, Hesketh 1997) is a central assumption in NLP as in other cognitive behaviour approaches (Grant 2001, Grant and Greene 2001).

Like narrative therapy and solution-focused brief therapy, NLP belongs philosophically to the discipline to *constructivism* (Korzybski, 1933/1994; Miller 1956; Bateson 1972; Walsh, Craik and Price 1992; Watzlawick, 1974; Weakland, Fisch, Jackson and Watzlawick, 1974). A key NLP presupposition is that people invent or construct their maps and models of the world because we do not deal with 'reality' or 'the territory' directly (Bandler and Grinder (1975).

Tosey, Mathison and Michelli (2005) suggest that although NLP has been influenced by many fields and disciplines "perhaps the most important [influence on NLP is] the cybernetic epistemology of Gregory Bateson...The cybernetic aspect is reflected, for example, in NLP's adoption of the TOTE (test-operate-test-exit) mode of functioning (Miller, Galanter & Pribram 1960), which depends on the dynamics of calibration and feedback (Bateson, 1973; Wiener, 1965)."

The TOTE (test-operate-test-exit) model of self regulation (Miller, Gallanter and Pribram 1960) informed the work of Bandler and Grinder that lead to the Strategy Model in NLP (Dilts 1980, Hall and Bodenhamer 1999), just as it informed the work of others studying the regulation of learning and behaviour (Carver and Scheier 1998).

Like the solution-focused approach, NLP was informed by cognitive therapy (Beck 1976) and by Erickson's Strategic Therapy (Zeig 1994, Battino and South 1999). Erickson worked with clients on the problems to be solved rather than on the causes of the problem. He showed how rapidly perceptions, behaviours and states can be changed when the conscious mind is bypassed, especially with the highly skilled use of language.

To some observers Erickson and Bates are originators of NLP just as they are of other brief, solution focused approaches (Norman, McKergow and Clarke, date unknown). Both NLP and solution-focused approaches are

constructivist and humanistic, sharing a focus collaboration, feedback, finding out what works and what doesn't, focus on solutions and resources, precision questioning, visualising a preferred future, step back and notice the problem is the problem.

To these shared assumptions, philosophy and techniques, NLP added the focus on internal representation systems and the language processes that help clients change those internal representations and physiology in order to change emotional states and behaviours. By the 1990s neuroscientists were providing detailed evidence of the complex neural and biochemical feedback and feed-forward loops that explain the interactions among perception, neural/mental patterns, sensory representations, emotion, feeling, changes in body state, thought, language, consciousness, sense of self, decision-making and other behaviours. (Pert 1997, Damasio 1994/2006, Damasio 2000). They attest, for example, to “the partnership between so-called cognitive processes and processes usually called ‘emotional’” (Damasio 2006, p.175). Their findings support the mind-body-emotion system that was described by Korzybski (1933/1994) and the many ways NLP practitioners assist clients’ communication with and through their nervous system (Bolstad 2004).

During its first years, NLP focused on the level of primary states and responses. In 1994, Hall developed the Meta-States model supported by evidence obtained by modelling neuro-linguistically the way in which people think and feel about primary states and thus experience ‘states about states’ (Hall 1994)/2002). The Meta-States model integrated more of Korzybski’s seminal work, *Science and Sanity* (Korzybski 1933/1994) with NLP. Whereas NLP was launched with Korzybski’s cognitive-behavioural distinction (‘the map is not the territory’), the Meta-States model brought in Korzybski’s ‘structural differential’ (or level-of-abstraction) model relating to the effect of one state upon another (‘first order effects’ vs. ‘second order effects’ such as, pitying pity). The Meta-States model also incorporated the insights of Bateson and his associates, especially Paul Watzlawick, in describing the paradoxes that arise in communication and thought (Bateson 1972, Watzlawick, Beavin & Jackson 1967), Watzlawick 1976, Watzlawick 1978, Watzlawick 1984). It also brought in more of Bateson’s work on logical levels and types (Bateson 1972), as well as current work in meta-cognition (Metacalfe and Shimamura 1995).

By modelling self-reflexive consciousness and the way it creates layers of thoughts-and-feelings and embeds unconscious belief frames which, in turn, affect our actions, reactions, and behaviours, the Meta-States model has brought a much more systemic approach to NLP. It has more fully introduced neuro-linguistic feedback and feed-forward loops of information and communication. The primary states and meta-states distinction in

NLP parallels the distinction in neuroscience between primary and secondary emotions (Damasio 1994/2006).

Tosey, Mathison and Michelli (2005) have demonstrated how NLP is a structured and systematic means of mapping the subjective experience and constructed reality of people experiencing transformative learning or coaching. In other words, NLP enables coaches to codify and respond to their own and their clients' experience. As NLP is useful for describing the intra-personal and interpersonal processes, as well as outcomes, of transformative learning/change, we believe it is worthwhile for coaches to understand it and learn to use it skilfully. As the founders of NLP 25 years ago (Dilts, Grinder, Bandler et al, 1980) said: "Your ultimate success at helping people achieve their desired outcomes—including managing change—will depend on your ability to observe, identify and utilise the multitude of patterns that will constantly be offered to you in your sensory experience by clients."

Conclusion

John Martin (2005) notes that "NLP originally developed as a form of psychotherapy in the 1970s. NLP authors tend to quote one another rather than linking with the wider worlds of psychotherapy, communication training etc and their methods have often been experience-based rather than research based, so it can be difficult to evaluate them in an independent and broadly informed way."

This paper has taken a step towards evaluating NLP in an informed way. It also offers a response to the challenge articulated by Stober, Wildflower and Drake (2006) who called for coaches to "begin integrating evidence from both coaching-specific research and related disciplines, their own expertise, and an understanding of the uniqueness of each client.... [Coaching has] roots in a number of fields. Therefore, one of the significant tasks before us is the integration and application of this disparate knowledge base into a coherent body of knowledge that applies to and guides coaching."

NLP-based coaching is an example of such an approach, integrating as it does a disparate but significant body of established knowledge and theory. We have argued that the NLP model of communication integrates evidence from various disciplines and is a useful model for coaches because it allows them to codify and respond appropriately to their own and their clients' experience (Tosey, Mathison and Michelli 2005).

References

- Anderson, W, T. (1983). *The upstart spring: Esalen and the American awakening*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Bandler, R. and Grinder, J. (1975). *The Structure of Magic, Vol I*. Palo Alto, CA: Science and Behaviour Books.
- Bandler, R. and Grinder, J. (1976). *The Structure of Magic, Vol II*. Palo Alto, CA: Science and Behavior Books.
- Bandura,A. (1977). *Social Learning Theory*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Bandura,A. (1986). *The Social Foundations of Thought and Action*. , New Jersey: Prentice Hall
- Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an Ecology of the Mind*. New York: Ballantine Books.
- Bateson, G. (1973). *Steps to an Ecology of the Mind*. London: Palladin, Granada.
- Battino, R. and South, T. L. (1999). *Ericksonian Approaches: A Comprehensive Manual*. Wales UK: Crown House Publishing Limited.
- Beck, A.T. (1976). *Cognitive Therapies and The Emotional Disorders*. New York: International University Press.
- Beck, A.T., Rush, A.J., Shaw, B.F. and Emery, G. (1979). *The Cognitive Therapy of Depression*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Bolstad, R. (2004). Putting the 'Neuro' back into NLP. *Trancescript*, 33(11): 40-64, Transformations International, Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Bolstad, R. (2005). The Decade that Invented NLP and Tried to find God in a Pill, *Anchor Point*, Salt Lake City: Anchor Point Publications.
- Carver, C.S. and Scheier, M.F. (1998). *On the Self-Regulation of Behavior*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Corsetty,K. and Pearson. J.(2000). *Healthy Habits: Total Conditioning for a Healthy Body and Mind*. www.healthyhabits.com
- Damasio, A. (1994/2006). *Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason and the Human Brain*. London: Random House/Vintage
- Damasio, A. (2000). *The Feeling of What Happens: Body, Emotion and the Making of Consciousness*. London: Random House/Vintage

- De Shazar, S. (1988). *Clues: Investigating Solutions in Brief Therapy*. New York: W.W. Norton and Company.
- De Shazar, S. (1994). *Words Were Originally Magic*. New York: W.W. Norton and Company.
- Dilts, R. (1980). *Neuro-Linguistic Programming: The Study of the Structure of Subjective Experience*. Cupertino, CA: Meta Publications
- Dilts, R. (2003). *From Coach to Awakener*. Capitola, Ca: Meta Publications.
- Dilts, R, Grinder, J, Bandler, R. et al, (1980). *Neuro-Linguistic Programming Vol. I*. Capitola, CA: Meta Publications.
- Egan, G. (1975). *The Skilled Helper. A Problem-Management and Opportunity-Development Approach to Helping*. Monterey: Brooks/Cole
- Ellis, A. (1962). *Reason and Emotion in Psychotherapy*. New York: W.W. Norton and Company.
- Ellis, A. and Harper, R.A. (1975). *A New Guide to Rational Living*. Wilshire Book Company, Hollywood (First edition 1961 Prentice Hall, New Jersey).
- Glasser, W. (1965). *Reality Therapy*. New York, Evanston and London: Harper & Row
- Grant, A. M. (2001). Towards a Psychology of Coaching: The Impact of Coaching on Metacognitions, Mental Health and Goal Attainment. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Macquarie University, Sydney. <www.psych.usyd.edu.au/psychcoach/AMG_PhD_2001>
- Grant, A.M. and Greene, J, (2001). *Coach Yourself*. London: Pearson Education Limited
- Grant, A.M (2006). The Development of Coaching Psychology, *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 1 (1), 12-22.
- Hall, L. M. (2006). Was NLP really a child of the Human Potential Movement? *Resource Magazine*, Edition 11, London, England. <www.resourcedmagazine.co.uk>
- Hall, L.M. (1994/2002). *Meta-states: Managing the Higher Levels of Your Mind's Reflexivity*. Clifton CO: Neuro-Semantics Publications.
- Hall, L.M. and Bodenhamer, B. (1999). *The User's Manual for the Brain*. Carmathan, Wales: Crown House Publishing Limited.
- Hall, L.M. and Belnap, B. (1999). *The Sourcebook of Magic: A Comprehensive Guide to the Technology of NLP*. Carmathan, Wales: Crown House Publishing Limited.
- Hesketh, B. (1997). Acquiring and developing transferable skills: an essential ingredient of career survival. Paper presented at conference on *Career Planning: Signposting the Future*, Career Services Ruapara, New Zealand.

- Korzybski, A. (1933/1994). *Science and Sanity*. Lakeville, Conn: Institute of General Semantics.
- Locke, E.A. (1996). Motivation through conscious goal setting, *Applied and Preventive Psychology*, 5(2)
- Latham, G.P. and Locke, E.A. (1991). Self regulation through goal setting. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50:212-247.
- McDermott, I. And Jago, W. (2001). *The NLP Coach: A Comprehensive Guide to Personal Well-being and Professional Success*. London: Platkus, Action Printing.
- McLeod, A. (2004). *Performance Coaching: The Handbook for Managers, HR Professionals and Coaches*. Carmarthen, Wales: Crown House Publications.
- Maslow, A. (1968). *Toward a Psychology of Being*. New York: Harper and Row
- Maslow, A. (1970). *Motivation and Personality*. New York: Harper and Row
- Martin, J. (2005). *Practical Thinking: Perception, Ideas and Action*. Open University, UK, Course 7185.
- Metacalfe, J. and Shimamura, A. P. (1995) (Eds.). *Metacognition: Knowing about knowing*. Cambridge, MA: A Bradford Book, The MIT Press.
- Miller, G. (1956). The Magic Number Seven Plus or Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity to Process Information. *Psychological Review*, 63, 81-97.
- Miller, G., Gallanter, E., and Pribram, K.H. (1960). *Plans and The Structure of Behavior*, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Norman, H, McKergow, M and Clarke, J. (date unknown). Paradox is a muddle: An interview with Steve de Shazar. <www.thesolutionsfocus.com/article2.cfm>
- O'Connor, J. and Seymour, J. (1990). *Introducing NLP*, London: Aquarian/Thorsons.
- Pert, C.B. (1997). *Molecules of Emotion: The Science Behind Mind-Body Medicine*. New York, NY: Scribner.
- Rogers, C.R. (1955). *Client-Centred Therapy*. Boston, Mass: Houghton Mufflin.
- Rogers, C.R. (1961). *On Becoming a Person—A Therapist's View of Psychotherapy*. London: Constable.
- Stober, D., Wildflower, L. and Drake, D. (2006). Evidence-Based Practice: A Potential Approach for Effective Coaching. *International Journal of Evidence-based Coaching and Mentoring*, 4(1), Spring, pp1-8.
- Tosey, P. Mathison, J. and Michelli, D. (2005). Mapping Transformative Learning: The Potential of Neuro-Linguistic Programming. *Journal of Transformative Education* Vol 3, No.2 April, pp 140-167.
-

Walsh, W.B., Craik, K. and Price, R (1992). Person-centered psychology: A summary and commentary. In W.B. Walsh, K.Craik, and R.Price (eds). *Person-Centered psychology: Models and Perspectives*, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum

Watzlawick, Paul. (1976). *How real is real?* New York: Basic Books

Watzlawick, Paul. (1978). *The language of change*. NY: Basic.

Watzlawick, P. (1984). *The Invented reality: How Do We Know What We Believe We Know? Contributions to Constructivism*. New York: W.W. Norton.

Watzlawick, P., Beavin, J.H. and Jackson, D.D. (1967). *Pragmatics of Human Communication*. New York: Norton.

Weakland, J., Fisch, R., Jackson, D. and Watzlawick, P. (1974). *Change: Patterns of Problem Formulation and Problem Solution*. New York: W.W. Norton.

Wiener, N.(1965). *Cybernetics and Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Zieg, J. (1985). *Experiencing Erickson*. New York: Brunner/Mazel
